

Remarks

Reconsideration of this Application is respectfully requested. The Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesy of a brief telephone interview on December 10, 2003 with the Applicants' representative. As the Examiner will recall, it was agreed upon that the Examiner will telephone the Applicants' representative if the Examiner determines the forthcoming arguments unpersuasive.

Claims 69-72, 75, and 78-81 have been previously withdrawn. Thus, claims 68, 73, 74, 76, 77, and 82 are pending .

Based on the following Remarks, Applicants respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

In the Office Action, claims 68, 73, 74, 76, 77 and 82 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Turley et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,831,198 ("Turley") and in view of Ronzani et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,844,656 ("Ronzani"). The Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Claims 68, 73, 74, 76, 77 and 82 recite, *inter alia*, a system including a wearable apparatus for on-site testing and diagnostic analysis of a device that include a data collection instrument for collecting Property Data of the device.

The Office Action alleges that Turley discloses a data collection instrument for collecting Property Data of a device (see Office Action, mail date August 27, 2003, Para 3).

More specifically, the Office Action relies on the Turley's disclosure of a data collection instrument 530. The Applicants respectfully disagree.

Turley fails to disclose a data collection instrument for the collecting Property Data, as recited by claims 68, 73, 74, 76, 77 and 82. Instead, Turley discloses a laser range finder/digital compass assembly for attaching to an assault rifle (Turley, col. 5, lines 13-17, Fig. 2). The range finder/compass assembly does not collect Property Data of a device nor does it suggest the collection of Property Data.

Moreover, the Office Action has alleged that the range finder/digital compass includes positive and negative test leads (Office Action, para. 3). The Applicant respectfully disagrees. In fact, Turley does not contain the terms "probe," "test leads" or "leads" within the specification. Accordingly, Turley cannot teach or suggest an electrical probe, much less an electrical probe with positive and negative test leads. Accordingly, Turley fails to disclose or suggest this element of the invention of claims 68, 73, 74, 76, 77 and 82.

Ronzani fails to correct the deficiency of include a data collection instrument for collecting Property Data of the device. Instead, Ronzani suggests a head mounted display in a voice activated computer system (Ronzani, col. 2, lines 22-23). As such, Ronzani fails to teach or suggest a data collection instrument for collecting Property Data of the device. In fact, Ronzani also fails to mention the terms of "probe," "test leads" or "leads" within the specification. Accordingly, Ronzani fails to teach or suggest the invention as recited by claims 68, 73, 74, 76, 77 and 82.

Since Turley and Ronzani each fails to suggest the invention as recited by claims 68, 73, 74, 76, 77 and 82, the combination of Turley and Ronzani also fails to teach each and

every claim element of the claimed invention. Thus, the invention as recited by claims 68, 73, 74, 76, 77 and 82 is patentable over the cited prior art.


Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn. Applicants believe that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 08-3038 referencing the above-docket number. *A duplicate copy of this Petition is enclosed.*

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Michael J. Bell', written over a horizontal line.

Michael J. Bell (Reg. No. 39,604)
Anderson I. Chen (Reg. No. 44,436)

Date: December 23, 2003

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLC
Box No. 34
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800